



# ASD Self-Stimulatory Behaviors as Compensatory Nervous System Regulation

A Rhythmic Regulation Hypothesis — Extended Research Dossier

**Matthew Xiarhos**

January 2026

MatthewXiarhos@gmail.com

[matthewxiarhos.github.io/The-DRUM-PROTOCOLS](https://matthewxiarhos.github.io/The-DRUM-PROTOCOLS)

*With research assistance from ChatGPT (OpenAI) and Claude (Anthropic)*

**NOTE:** This document is a theoretical synthesis and does not claim clinical efficacy. It is not a peer-reviewed publication. Where specific claims are made, supporting literature is cited in the References section.

## Executive Abstract

Autism spectrum conditions are associated with differences in motor coordination, sensory processing, affect regulation, and autonomic function. Repetitive motor behaviors ("stimming") are frequently interpreted through behavioral or sensory-modulation frameworks. This dossier advances a complementary, timing-based hypothesis: that certain forms of self-stimulatory behavior may function as compensatory nervous system regulation through rhythmic entrainment.

Converging evidence across cerebellar timing circuits, inferior olive oscillatory dynamics, developmental EEG trajectories, resting-state spectral analyses, autonomic physiology, and rhythm-based intervention studies suggests that low-frequency oscillatory coordination (approximately 5–13 Hz) plays a central role in temporal organization across motor, cognitive, and regulatory domains. Altered development, coherence, or stability within this frequency range may contribute to increased temporal variability. Repetitive rhythmic behaviors may therefore represent self-generated temporal scaffolding that supports regulatory stabilization.

This document synthesizes existing literature to establish mechanistic plausibility. It does not claim causation or therapeutic efficacy. The Rhythmic Regulation Hypothesis generates specific, testable predictions concerning oscillatory alignment, variability reduction, and autonomic modulation.

*Keywords: autism spectrum condition; neural entrainment; theta–alpha oscillations; nervous system regulation; rhythmic regulation; temporal coordination*

### ■ Safety Notice

The Drum Protocols are not a medical treatment and do not constitute medical advice. Rhythmic auditory stimulation may cause drowsiness and may be destabilizing for some individuals.

Individuals with seizure disorders, epilepsy, severe migraine sensitivity, or known sensitivity to rhythmic sensory stimulation should not engage in rhythmic exposure without medical supervision.

Use in autism spectrum contexts should be cautious, individualized, and observational. Discontinue exposure if agitation, distress, headache, dizziness, or other adverse symptoms occur.

## I. Conceptual Foundations: Timing as Regulatory Infrastructure

---

Biological systems are rhythmic across multiple scales, including cortical oscillations, motor timing, respiratory cycles, and cardiac variability. Temporal coordination functions as regulatory infrastructure rather than mere byproduct of neural activity.

If oscillatory timing provides structural organization for perception and action, increased variability in timing may contribute to regulatory instability. Within this framing, repetitive rhythmic behavior may provide externally generated temporal structure that stabilizes nervous system dynamics.

This hypothesis does not assume all timing is regulatory. It proposes that rhythmic repetition may serve compensatory timing functions in some contexts.

## II. Oscillatory Vulnerability in Autism Spectrum Conditions

---

### Cerebellar and Inferior Olive Dynamics

The cerebellum and inferior olive contribute to sub-second timing and coordination. Oscillatory properties within the 5–13 Hz range align with theta–alpha boundary dynamics implicated in motor output and predictive timing.

### Developmental EEG Trajectories

Longitudinal EEG research suggests altered maturation of low-frequency oscillations (6–9 Hz) among infants later diagnosed with autism, indicating divergence in trajectory rather than absence of oscillatory development.

## Resting-State Spectral Differences

Meta-analytic findings report differences in alpha power and spectral organization in autism. These differences may reflect variability in temporal coordination rather than structural deficit.

## III. Neural Entrainment as a Compensatory Mechanism

---

Neural entrainment refers to the alignment of endogenous oscillatory activity with external rhythmic structure. Rhythmic input can reduce temporal uncertainty by phase-aligning excitability cycles.

Dynamic Attending Theory and empirical entrainment studies demonstrate that rhythmic stimulation shifts cortical excitability toward predictive alignment.

Repetitive motor behavior generates proprioceptive and vestibular rhythmic feedback. As such, rhythmic stimming may function as a closed-loop oscillatory stabilization mechanism.

## IV. Autonomic and Multi-System Regulation

---

Autonomic systems operate through rhythmic dynamics including heart rate variability and respiratory pacing. Evidence of atypical autonomic and respiratory rhythms in autism supports the plausibility of multi-system timing variability.

Motor repetition may influence not only cortical timing but also broader autonomic coordination.

## V. Alternative and Complementary Accounts

---

Behavioral reinforcement, sensory modulation, and affect regulation frameworks provide important perspectives on repetitive behavior. The Rhythmic Regulation Hypothesis is complementary rather than competitive.

The distinction emphasized here is temporal structure — *when* input occurs — rather than sensory content — *what* input is delivered.

## VI. Expanded Testable Predictions

---

### Neural Predictions

- Increased phase alignment within 5–13 Hz during rhythmic engagement.
- Reduced spectral variability relative to baseline.

### Motor Predictions

- Reduced variability in paced motor tasks.

## Autonomic Predictions

- Modulation of heart rate variability.
- Changes in respiratory pacing.

## Qualitative Indicators

- Caregiver-reported transient calming.
- Observable short-term stabilization.

*These predictions are investigational and not therapeutic claims.*

## VII. Failure Conditions and Boundary Constraints

---

### Over-Synchronization Risk

Individuals with seizure vulnerability may experience destabilizing effects from rhythmic stimulation.

### Frequency Assumption Limitations

Assuming a universal optimal frequency (e.g., ~7 Hz) may represent a core assumption failure.

### Hyper-Arousal States

Rhythmic input during acute hyper-arousal may amplify activation rather than regulate it.

### Sensory Sensitivity

Auditory hypersensitivity may render rhythmic exposure intolerable for some individuals.

### Individual Variability

Responses may range from stabilization to no effect to destabilization.

## VIII. Limitations

---

- The dossier presents theoretical synthesis only.
- No causal claims are made.
- Regulatory effects may be transient.
- Repetitive behaviors are heterogeneous.

## IX. Evidence Convergence Matrix

---

Domain	Frequency Range	Mechanistic Role	Strength
Cerebellar timing	5–13 Hz	Sub-second coordination	Moderate
Developmental EEG	6–9 Hz	Maturation divergence	Moderate
Resting-state alpha	7–13 Hz	Spectral organization	Moderate
Mediofrontal theta	4–8 Hz	Regulatory timing	Moderate
Autonomic variability	HRV-related	Cardiac pacing	Moderate
Respiratory rhythm	Breathing cycles	Multi-system timing	Emerging
Rhythm interventions	Behavioural entrainment	Synchrony effects	Moderate

## X. Safety and Contraindications

---

The Drum Protocols are not a medical treatment and do not constitute medical advice.

- Do not use while driving or operating machinery.
- Individuals with seizure disorders, severe migraine sensitivity, or auditory hypersensitivity should avoid rhythmic exposure without medical clearance.
- Discontinue use if agitation, dizziness, headache, or distress occurs.
- Use is voluntary and exploratory.

## References

---

- Bağcı, E., Bağcı-Eroglu, C., Karakaş, S., & Schürmann, M. (2001). Gamma, alpha, delta, and theta oscillations govern cognitive processes. *International Journal of Psychophysiology*, 39(2–3), 241–248. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-8760\(00\)00145-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-8760(00)00145-8)
- Benevides, T. W., & Lane, S. J. (2015). A review of cardiac autonomic measures: Considerations for examination of physiological response in children with autism spectrum disorder. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 45(2), 560–575. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-013-1971-z>
- Bieleninik, M., Geretsegger, M., Mössler, K., et al. (2017). Effects of improvisational music therapy vs enhanced standard care on symptom severity among children with autism spectrum disorder: The TIME-A randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*, 318(6), 525–535. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2017.9478>
- Buzzell, G. A., Thomas, H. R., Choi, Y. B., & Kim, S. H. (2022). Atypical mediofrontal theta oscillations underlying cognitive control in kindergarteners with autism spectrum disorder. *Biological Psychiatry: Cognitive Neuroscience and Neuroimaging*, 7(6), 566–575. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpsc.2021.03.016>
- Cannon, J. J., & Patel, A. D. (2021). How beat perception co-opts motor neurophysiology. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 25(2), 137–150. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2020.11.002>
- Dickinson, A., et al. (2025). Accelerated infant brain rhythm maturation in autism. *Developmental Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/desc.13593>
- Ding, Y., et al. (2024). Rhythm-based interventions for autism spectrum disorder: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 15, 1436170. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsy.2024.1436170>
- Geretsegger, M., Elefant, C., Mössler, K. A., & Gold, C. (2022). Music therapy for people with autism spectrum disorder. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 5, CD004381. <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD004381.pub4>
- Jensen, O., & Mazaheri, A. (2010). Shaping functional architecture by oscillatory alpha activity: Gating by inhibition. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, 4, 186. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2010.00186>
- Kawasaki, M., Kitajo, K., & Yamaguchi, Y. (2017). Frontal theta activation during motor synchronization in autism spectrum disorder. *Scientific Reports*, 7, 14508. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-14508-4>
- Klimesch, W. (1999). EEG alpha and theta oscillations reflect cognitive and memory performance. *Brain Research Reviews*, 29(2–3), 169–195. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0173\(98\)00056-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0173(98)00056-3)
- Lakatos, P., Karmos, G., Mehta, A. D., Ulbert, I., & Schroeder, C. E. (2008). Entrainment of neuronal oscillations as a mechanism of attentional selection. *Science*, 320(5872), 110–113. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1154735>
- Large, E. W., & Jones, M. R. (1999). The dynamics of attending: How people track time-varying events. *Psychological Review*, 106(1), 119–159. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295X.106.1.119>
- Lisman, J. E., & Jensen, O. (2013). The theta–gamma neural code. *Neuron*, 77(6), 1002–1016. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2013.03.007>
- Ming, X., Julu, P. O. O., Brimacombe, M., Connor, S., & Daniels, M. L. (2016). Respiratory dysrhythmia in children with autism spectrum disorder. *Brain and Development*, 38(6), 612–620. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.braindev.2015.12.002>
- Morillon, B., & Schroeder, C. E. (2015). Neuronal oscillations as a mechanistic substrate of auditory temporal prediction. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 1337(1), 26–31. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nyas.12629>
- Neo, W. S., et al. (2023). Resting-state EEG power differences in autism spectrum disorder: A meta-analysis. *Translational Psychiatry*, 13, 268. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41398-023-02681-2>
- Nozaradan, S., Peretz, I., Missal, M., & Mouraux, A. (2011). Tagging the neuronal entrainment to beat and meter. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 31(28), 10234–10240. <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0411-11.2011>

- Nombela, C., Hughes, L. E., Owen, A. M., & Grahn, J. A. (2013). Into the groove: Can rhythm influence Parkinson's disease? *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 37(10), 2564–2570. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2013.08.003>
- Srinivasan, S. M., et al. (2015). Motor and social synchrony in children with autism spectrum disorder. *Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders*, 19, 51–63. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rasd.2015.07.004>
- Srinivasan, S. M., et al. (2016). Effects of embodied rhythm intervention on social communication in autism spectrum disorder. *Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders*, 23, 50–63. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rasd.2016.04.001>
- Tierney, A. L., et al. (2012). Developmental trajectories of resting EEG power in infants at risk for autism spectrum disorder. *Journal of Neurodevelopmental Disorders*, 4(1), 16. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1866-1955-4-16>
- Tordjman, S., et al. (2015). Autism as a disorder of biological and behavioral rhythms. *Frontiers in Pediatrics*, 3, 1. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fped.2015.00001>
- Welsh, J. P., Ahn, E. S., & Placantonakis, D. G. (2005). Is autism due to brain desynchronization? *International Journal of Developmental Neuroscience*, 23(2–3), 253–263. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdevneu.2004.09.002>
- 

## Acknowledgments

*This manuscript represents an independent theoretical synthesis. No institutional funding supported this work. The Drum Protocols are released under an exploratory research framework.*